

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 UP TO \$28,174,145.52 IN
13 HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK ESCROW
14 ACCOUNT NUMBER '7196, ET AL.,

15 Defendants *In Rem*.

16 CHRISTOPHER JOEY MCFARLAND,

17
18 Claimant.

No. CV 19-01327-DSF (PLAx)

CONSENT JUDGMENT
OF FORFEITURE

19 Plaintiff United States of America and Claimant Christopher
20 Joey McFarland ("Claimant") have made a stipulated request for the
21 entry of this Consent Judgment, partially resolving this action.

22 The Court, having considered the stipulation and request of
23 the parties, and good cause appearing therefor, HEREBY ORDERS,
24 ADJUDGES AND DECREES:

25 1. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff, the following
26 Defendants: (a) \$14,087,072.76 in Huntington National Bank Escrow
27 Account Number '7196; (b) \$1,148,739.35 in Barclays Bank of
28 Delaware Account Number '6111; and (c) \$162,486.88 in Fidelity

1 Investments, Inc. Account Number '9340 (collectively, the
2 "Defendant Funds"), Claimant, and the subject matter of this action
3 as related to the Defendant Funds only.

4 2. This Consent Judgment does not apply to the remaining
5 defendant asset in this action, \$14,087,072.76 in Huntington
6 National Bank Escrow Account Number '7196.

7 3. The Government has given and published notice of this
8 action as required by law, including Supplemental Rule G for
9 Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions, Federal
10 Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Local Rules of this Court. All
11 potential claimants to the Defendant Funds, other than Claimant,
12 are deemed to have admitted the allegations of the Complaint.
13 Nothing in this consent judgment is intended or should be
14 interpreted as an admission of wrongdoing by Claimant, nor should
15 this consent judgment be admitted in any criminal proceeding
16 against Claimant to prove any of the facts relied upon to establish
17 reasonable cause for the seizure of the Defendant Funds or the
18 commencement of this action. The allegations set forth in the
19 Complaint are sufficient to establish a basis for forfeiture of the
20 Defendant Funds.

21 4. The Government shall have judgment as to the Defendant
22 Funds, and all interest earned by the government thereon, and no
23 other person or entity shall have any right, title or interest
24 therein. The Government shall dispose of said funds in accordance
25 with law.

26 5. The Court finds that there was reasonable cause for the
27 seizure of the Defendant Funds and institution of this action on
28

1 the Defendant Funds. This consent judgment shall be construed as a
2 certificate of reasonable cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465.

3 6. Each of the parties shall bear its own fees and costs in
4 connection with the seizure of the Defendant Funds and this action.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED: July 17, 2019



7 Honorable Dale S. Fischer
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Approved as to form and content:

2 Dated: July 15, 2019

/s/ Daniel Crump

3 SHARON COHEN LEVIN, Pro Hac Vice

DANIEL CRUMP

4 Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and
5 Dorr LLP

6 Attorneys for Claimant

Christopher Joey McFarland

8 Dated: July 15, 2019

DEBORAH CONNOR, Chief

9 Money Laundering and Asset Recovery
10 Section

11 NICOLA T. HANNA

United States Attorney

12 LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON

Assistant United States Attorney

13 Chief, Criminal Division

14 STEVEN R. WELK

Assistant United States Attorney

15 Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section

16 /s/ [by e-mail confirmation]

JOHN J. KUCERA

17 MICHAEL R. SEW HOY

18 Assistant United States Attorney

19 MARY BUTLER, Chief, International Unit

WOO S. LEE, Deputy Chief,

20 International Unit

JOSHUA L. SOHN, Trial Attorney

21 BARBARA LEVY, Trial Attorney

22 Attorneys for Plaintiff

23 United States of America